

7/Response  
7-87ep70e  
Attorney Docket No. 13.041 11-21-02

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Wade Lee

Serial No. 09/891,484

Filed: June 25, 2001

For: **WORKLIGHT WITH THERMAL  
WARNING**

Examiner: Tania C. Courson

Group Art Unit: 2859

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION****FAX RECEIVED**Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

November 19, 2002

NOV 19 2002

Dear Sir:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action of June 19, 2002. A petition for a two-month extension of time to respond accompanies this response.

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of these remarks.

The undersigned confirms the election of species I, claims 1-5, 8-9 and 11-12 made in the telephone calls of June 12 and 13, 2002. Upon completion of those telephone calls, the undersigned submitted an amendment in which claim 1 was canceled and replaced with substitute claim 13. The substitute claim 13 is verbatim the same as claim 1 except for the insertion of the phrase "a warning indicator providing an indication that said at least one exterior surface is of a temperature hot to human touch, said indicator comprising." This change was made for stylistic reasons and not for reasons of patentability. The specification refers to the improvement of the invention as a warning indicator and even provides reference numeral 23 for the warning indicator. It was desired to have the claims refer to the improvement in the same way—as a warning indicator. Insertion of the phrase into claim 13 merely inserts a name for the claimed improvement. The change was made by way of substitute claim 13 instead of amending claim 1 so as to more clearly delineate the subparagraphs of claim 13. The warning indicator comprises verbatim the same limitations—no more, no less—as did the improvement of claim 1. The